





The follow-up question asked participants to expand upon why they thought ICA-AtoM was easy or difficult to navigate. In general participants found the layout to be fairly clear; however, suggestions were made to improve the Search Functionality, increase size of Text, put more navigation tools at the top of the page as opposed to the bottom, and better explanation of what labels mean and/or do.







How easy was it to scan the titles in the text and find the info you wanted?



Were you able to find the pages or functions under the appropriate tabs?




Is it clear at all times where you are in ICA-AtoM?




The follow-up question asked participants why they felt lost. The majority of their responses focused upon the lack of Advanced Searching and drop-downs for Browsing, the difficulty of reading small text and navigating the edit template.


ICA-AtoM is easy to use.




ICA-AtoM is user friendly.




ICA-AtoM requires the fewest steps possible to accomplish the tasks I was assigned.




ICA-AtoM is flexible.




I do not notice any inconsistencies in the software as I use it.




I can recover from mistakes quickly & easily.




I can use ICA-AtoM successfully every time.




I learned to use ICA-AtoM quickly.




I easily remembered how to use ICA-AtoM.




It is easy to learn to use ICA-AtoM.




I quickly became skillful with ICA-AtoM.




I am satisfied with ICA-AtoM.




ICA-AtoM is fun to use.



I would recommend ICA-AtoM to a colleague.



ICA-AtoM works the way I want it to work.



A follow-up question was added to the questionnaire to allow participants to freely comment on what improvements could be made to ICA-AtoM so that it would support their workflow. Responses are listed below and prioritized according to the number of respondents that identified the same feature as necessary.

1. Advanced Search
 - Need ways to narrow result sets. Narrow by hierarchical level.
 - Drop-downs lists of field to search such as date or geographic area.
 - Search using subject or keyword.
 - Facetted searching.
2. Record Skeleton needed. / Custom-made data entry forms for description that has limited fields specific to a particular fonds or record media needed.
3. Global Corrections functionality needed.
4. Bulk Updating needed.
5. Conservation Module needed with digitization fields added.
6. Results in table form instead of a list. Tables would allow browsing by scanning a whole column or sorting on one heading... etc...
7. Spellcheck function in data entry needs to be added.
8. Accessions Module needed.